**MEETING MINUTES**

**TRIBAL TRANSPORTATION SELF-GOVERNANCE PROGRAM**

**NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING COMMITTEE**

**EASTERN FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY DIVISION OFFICE**

**21400 RIDGETOP CIRCLE**

**STERLING, VA**

**AUGUST 16 - 18, 2016**

**Tuesday, August 16, 2016**

8:00 am – Commence Meeting and Welcome

Introduction by Bob Sparrow the Designated Federal Official for the TTSGP Negotiated Rulemaking Committee.

* He shared the news that Julie Baltar, Transportation Director for Bristol Bay Native Association, had suddenly passed away last weekend.

Darryl Bradley performed an opening prayer

8:15 am – Agenda Review and Acceptance

Denise Michels mentioned that we have not had an opportunity for a Tribal Caucus

– Bob suggested first getting the Tribal Committee Officials/Leaders named and then request a caucus if wanted.

8:30 am - Introductions

In addition to Mr. Sparrow, TTSGP primary and alternate committee members in attendance included:

* Vivian Philbin, FHWA Office of Chief Counsel
* Kenneth Martin, USDOT Deputy Assistant Secretary for Tribal Affairs
* Tim Ballew, Lummi Nation
* Denise Michels, Kawarek, Inc.
* Joe Garcia, Ohkay Owingeh
* Gerald Hope, Sitka
* David Kelly, Oglala Sioux Tribe
* Ron His Horse is Thunder, Standing Rock Sioux Tribe
* Palmer Mosely, Chickasaw Nation
* Westley Woodruff, Poarch Band of Creek Indians
* Elan Flippin Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Tribal Transit Manager
* Basharat Siddiqi, FHWA Division Administrator for Oklahoma
* Royce Gchachu, Zuni Tribe
* Jody Clark, Seneca Nation and also representing USET
  + Ms. Clark passed out treaty cloth to show respect and spoke of their significance
* David Conner, Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians
* Michael Hostler, Hoopa Valley Tribe
* Katherine Andrus, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)
* Darryl Bradley, Navajo Nation
* Jonah Begay, Navajo Nation
* Jennifer Lynn Jack, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
* Edwina Butler Wolfe, Absentee Shawnee Tribe
* Elizabeth Kay Wallace Rhoads, Sac and Fox Nation
* Mary Beth Clark, Nez Perce Tribe
* LeRoy Gishi, Bureau of Indian Affairs
* Karen Woodard, Morongo Band of Mission Indians
* Octavio Machado, AK-Chin Indian Community; and
* Lindsay Earls, Cherokee Nation

In addition to the primary and alternate committee members listed above, the following were also in attendance at the start of the meeting:

* David Kim, FHWA Deputy Administrator
* Melisa Ridenour, FHWA EFL Division Director
* Jennifer Outhouse, FHWA Office of Chief Counsel
* Milton Hsieh, FHWA Office of Chief Counsel
* Julie Dingle, FWHA Office of Chief Council
* Wanda Ross, FHWA Office of Federal Lands
* Ada Valaitis, USDOT Office of Government Affairs
* Rosina Carrion, BIA Division of Tribal Transportation,
* Andy Caulum, DOI Indian Affairs Office of the Solicitor
* Dean Branchaud, Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians
* Anthony Broncho, Shoshone Bannock Tribes
* Kirk Vinish, Lummi Nation
* C. Juliet Pittman,
* Lesa Shaw, Absentee Shawnee Tribe
* John Bioff, Kawerak, Inc.
* Howard Mermelstein, transportation director for various Alaska Native Villages
* Adam Bailey, Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker
* Geoffrey Strommer, Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker
* Michael Willis, Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker
* Matthew Jaffe, Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse, Endreson & Perry
* Richard Phelps – Falmouth Institute

9:00 am - Opening Remarks

* Melisa Ridenour
  + General welcome to the area and mentioned local attractions
* David Kim – FHWA Deputy Administrator
  + Expressed appreciation for efforts on the committee and indicated that Secretary Fox and FHWA Administrator Nadeau are supremely supportive of the effort. The selection of the committee has great diversity to represent Indian Country. FWHA has a long history of working with Tribes including capacity building and developing relationships. He mentioned that there are currently about 130 Tribes operating under program agreements with FHWA and that these agreements provided about $165 million in TTP funds in FY 2016.
* Kenneth Martin – USDOT Deputy Assistant Secretary for Tribal Affairs
  + Only in position for the last 3 months. Previously worked in the Senate on a wide variety of Tribal issues.

9:30 am – BREAK

9:45 am – Public Comment

Ms. Clark – Stated that part of negotiated rulemaking was getting buy-in from all of the parties, including State DOTs and wondered why there was no representation from State DOTs on the committee. Ms. Clark said that the Seneca Nation has received more funding from the State DOT than other sources and that having buy-in from State DOT’s will help all Tribes. She asked if there was any language that prohibits State DOT involvement. Bob Sparrow responded by stating that this is a regulation on how Tribes can operate under agreements with USDOT. He also discussed how FWHA is organized and stated that the Federal-aid side of FHWA, which deals with State DOT’s is represented on the committee by Mr. Basharat Siddiqi, the FHWA Division Administrator for Oklahoma. Ms. Clark is asking to keep the State DOTs informed so that there is buy-in to the process.

Mr. Kelly – Asked that the committee to look at all transportation issues and to make a link to share info and help the Tribes see what is going on during the negotiated rulemaking process.

Mr. Garcia – Adding to Ms. Clark’s comments, he stated that New Mexico has the State Collaboration Act that established a State tribal liaison for each Department and how that kind of approach has been beneficial and could work with other States where Tribes are located.

There were no other public comments.

10:00 am – Federal Register Overview

* Jennifer Outhouse – Attorney Advisor – FHWA
* Please see file labeled “Tribal Transportation Self-Governance PowerPoint”.
* Discussed the overall NPRM process.
  + FAST Act Section 1121 stated that we would to rulemaking.
  + Having a negotiated rulemaking committee involved in the development of the NPRM should make the NPRM itself less adversarial.
  + The pros of using a negotiated rulemaking committee are generally earlier implementation, higher compliance rates, more cooperative relationships between the agency and the other parties, and better information sharing regarding costs, solutions, and an understanding of the benefit of reaching consensus. The cons are that it is resource intensive and a substantial cost to the agencies and Tribes involved.
* The timeframe for the committee was then discussed, with an understanding that it could take up to 2 years to complete to the Final Rule (see sample in Notebook).
* The text of the regulation will be developed after consensus is reached. Seeing that the regulation text sticks as close as possible to the law language is important.
* NPRM must be cleared through OMB, and OMB is mostly interested in cost benefit information.
* Plain language is also important.
* Committee members are allowed to provide public comments on the NPRM. To the extent that additional support or information is offered is also helpful.
* The committee will not be disbanded until the final rule is complete.
* The deadline for publication of the NPRM is September 2017.

11:00 am - TTSGP Overview/Expectations

* Robert Sparrow – Designated Federal Official
* Please see file labeled “TTSGP Overview and Expectations”
* Information is provided under Tab 5 in the notebooks. The TTSGP will be a brand new section within Title 23.
* Explained that the meeting locations will need to not exceed those that would take place in Albuquerque as that is a reasonable cost location (for travel and the facilities).
* A designated alternate will be asked to attend a committee meeting if the primary member is unable to attend.

11:15 am to 11:45am – Traveling on Federal funds

* Rosina Carrion – Program Support Specialist – BIA Division of Transportation
* Please see file labeled “Invitational Traveler’s Travel presentation TTSGP“
* Tribal committee members are “invitational travelers” in the eyes of the Government and must follow the Federal Travel Regulations (FTR).
  + A travel request must be submitted to BIA Transportation or FHWA to start.
  + As a traveler you need a travel authorization prior to the taking the trip.
  + If a travel advance is received from the Tribe, it is that person’s responsibility to pay the Tribe back. BIA and DOT will not pay a third party.
  + The airfare booked must be coach, and the government contract carrier and fare must be used if possible.

11:45 am – 1:00 pm – LUNCH

1:00pm to 3:30pm Tribal Caucus

Summary: The elected tribal co-chairs for the committee will be Mr. Joe Garcia, Head Councilman for Ohkay Owingeh and Kay Rhoads, Principal Chief for the Sac and Fox Nation.

3:45 pm - The Tribal co-chairs asked following questions to the Designated Federal Official. The response to each is in bold:

1. On the agenda there is the suggestion to break up into the groups, but the question is what are the workgroups going to be? We need to clarify this issue on the agenda.
   1. **RESPONSE: Mr. Sparrow originally suggested that the workgroups be designated as Administration and Operations and that the specifics of what each workgroup would review and develop would be a topic for later in the day or Tuesday morning. Mr. Sparrow stated that he is open to all suggestions.**

**Mr. Hope mentioned that there are existing processes that give this committee a head start, so right now we do not need more than 2 workgroups but that we could end up with 3 if needed. Determine which categories would fit under administration and which would fall under operations, then we could assign the tasks in the workgroups. In the initial NAHASDA negotiations, there are was more processes to cover, but in this case the budgets are set for transportation funding. We need to talk about the processes and how the money flows to further explain (flow pattern for the funding from FHWA for with or without self-governance). One other possible work group is capital. Capital is one major area in transportation that needs to be considered. It seems that most of what was developed for IHS (Title V) fits under the administration side here but the IHS work involved 6 workgroups. The goal here is to keep the amount of workgroups manageable and it was suggested at 2 or 3 groups (not 6). It was agreed to start by listing the issues that the NPRM would address and then form the work groups accordingly. It was also said that a workgroup should address Tribal Sovereignty and how that relates back to our treaties. Sovereignty should be a prominent part of this NPRM. After further discussion, it was decided that the workgroup membership would be determined the following day.**

**Mr. Sparrow also stated that a presentation on how Highway Trust Funds flow at FHWA will be given at the Wednesday session.**

* 1. **ACTION: The categories that need to be addressed will be listed and then the workgroups will be formed based on this list. Initially the work groups can be group 1 and 2 and then their assigned items based on the list of categories.**

1. We are a negotiated rulemaking committee, and work that is done and provided for outreach should be developed with a consensus. In addition, there should not be a “veto power” by the Federal government and this topic should be included in the committee’s protocols.
   1. **Mr. Sparrow stated his agreement that we must operate under a consensus as we move forward. But as Ms. Outhouse presented earlier, the Federal Government cannot implement something that is not “legal.”**
2. The caucus did discuss the protocols and agreed that the draft protocols for this committee would be provided to all members tomorrow morning. The Protocol example from the TTP Coordinating Committee that were provided in the Notebooks was reviewed but those used during the NAHASDA work were thought to be more applicable and a better example.
   1. **It was agreed that the committee’s protocols would be drafted and made available to all members tomorrow.**
3. Makeup of the committee: Will both the primary and alternate members come to every meeting? Will additional alternates be named?
   1. **Mr. Sparrow stated that USDOT will be naming two additional alternates; one each from the BIA Midwest and Rocky Mountain Regions. It is the intent that only the primary committee members will have travel paid and will receive per diem for the duration of the meeting. An alternate will be invited to attend and have expenses paid only if the primary from that region cannot attend.**
4. It is a concern that the committee not be disbanded until the Final Rule is published.
   1. **Mr. Sparrow stated that it is the USDOT’s intent to keep the committee together and open through the entire process. If comments are received during the NPRM process that are relevant to the writing of the Rule itself, the committee will reconvene to address the comments received. The committee will not be closed until the final rule is published.**
5. How were the Federal members determined and should alternate Federal members specifically be named?
   1. **Mr. Sparrow stated that the Federal members were selected to represent the various modes/administrations within USDOT and the BIA. The Federal members are there to contribute and bring issues or concerns back to their operating administration at DOT that needs to be reexamined (same for Tribal members/Tribal Government input). DOT members are there to assist. Are we going to look at bringing in others, for example NHTSA? Mr. Sparrow said we can look at other modes in DOT and invite those applicable entities to the next meeting. The agenda had the primary programs that Mr. Sparrow had selected as an applicable group. What happens when a Federal member does not make it? The Federal committee member is to act for their agency. What happens if a FTA committee member is not there? Can the DOT alternate be named in order to avoid having different Federal staff at different meetings, the Federal person(s) attending should be able to answer the key questions and know where the committee is in the process. Mr. Sparrow said that he will create a list of alternates.**

Matt Jaffe shared a list of all of the Sections of 23 USC 207 for the committee’s use.

The remaining time was used to discuss meeting schedules. Mr. Sparrow stated that for the amount of work that needs to be done, the committee should plan to meet at least once per month (progress can be evaluated further into the process. After further discussion the following schedule was approved:

* September meeting: **The week of September 12 at Eastern Federal Lands in Sterling, VA**
* October meeting: **The week of October 17 in either Oklahoma City, Bellingham, WA, or Albuquerque, NM.** Locations will be evaluated based on travel costs.
* November meeting: **The week of November 14 in either Oklahoma City, Bellingham, WA, or Albuquerque, NM.**
* December meeting: **The week of December 5 in Morongo, CA or Albuquerque, NM.**

5:00 pm – Meeting Adjourned for the day

**Wednesday, August 17, 2016**

8:00 am – Meeting was called back to order

8:15 am – 10:15 am Tribal Caucus

10:15 am – Full committee was reconvened.

* Questions asked by the co-chairs **(Responses in bold)**
  + How is the voting to be handled for the committee? Is it to include all committee members that are present or only those primaries listed on the Federal Register Notice?
    - **Mr. Sparrow said that the full committee is composed of 18 Tribal and 7 Federal members, for a total of 25 votes. An alternate that is present at the meeting in place of a primary will have 1 vote. But there is to be no more than 25 votes at any time. Since there were no additional funds provided by Congress for this effort, the committee was formed with primary and alternate positions to best represent the Tribes nationally. Additional members were named by the Secretary/Administrator to ensure geographic, tribal size, and knowledge diversity for the committee. Those additional members will also play the role of a regional alternate, if required by the absence of the primary member, and will provide the information from the meeting back to the primary member as well as disseminate the information to Tribes located within their region. For those regions without 2 individuals on the committee, there is or will be named an alternate so to ensure that each region has representation at each meeting.**
  + Will all members (primary and alternates) have their travel paid for the meetings?
    - **Mr. Sparrow said that the travel costs for all of the primary and alternate committee members were paid for this initial meeting as it was important to have all of the members here for this informational meeting. However for future meetings, only the named primary members of the committee or an alternate attending in place of a primary member will have their travel costs arranged and paid for by the Federal Government.**

10:30 am – Ethics and Lobbying

* Julie Dingle – Senior Attorney – FHWA
* Please see file named: “LobbyingActivitiesNegRuleComm”

Summary: Federal funds may not be used to directly or indirectly lobby Congress. An example given for direct lobbying would be traveling on Federal funds to this meeting and then meeting with Congress to lobby for legislation. Indirect is discussing with others with intent to influence legislation. You should not be using your title as a member of the committee to lobby. Do not contact Congress on the days that you are on Federal travel.

There are some exceptions in the law for Federal Employees to discuss items with Congress. For the purpose of this committee there is not an exception for invitational travel.

11:00 am – Overview of Tribal programs at US DOT

* **Federal-aid Highway Basics**
* Todd Kohr, Office of Policy and Government Affairs
* Please see file named: “2016-08-12 Highways 101 for Tribal self-gov committee”

Summary: Federal Transportation funds are eligible for functional classes below what Federal- aid would fund. For example the TTP, FLAP, FLTP funds can fund any public roadway. TTP and FLTP are 100% Federal funds. However the appropriated $465 million for TTP with only an annual obligation limit of $441 million, so only $441 million can be obligated. The remainder of the contract authority does not go away, it is simply not available for obligation.

12:00 pm to 1:30pm – LUNCH

1:30 to 3:00pm - Overview of Tribal programs at US DOT (continued)

* **Tribal Transit Program**
* Elan Flippin, Transportation Program Specialist – FTA
* Please see file named: “Tribal Transit Program Presentation-Self Governance”

Summary: High level overview, purpose is to provide direct funding to Tribes for public transportation services. Under SAFETEA-LU all funding was discretionary. MAP-21 added a formula program, with a total amount of $30 million per year. FY 2017 apportionment notice should be published in January 2017 (considering any continuing resolutions). Funds are now allocated to 124 Tribes. Funds are 100%, and require no match versus funds from a State (5311 funds) that may require a match. You have 3 fiscal years to have the funds obligated in a grant agreement. Tribes can apply for Section 5311 and Tribal Transportation Program funds. TTP now has a technical assistance program that provides technical assistance to tribal grantees and allows open line of communication with tribal leaders. Other FTA programs described include:

* FTA Programs 5339 Buses and Bus Facilities Grants Program.
* 5311 Formula Grants for Rural Areas
* 5310 Enhanced Mobility for Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities
* 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Grants
* 5339 Low or no Emission Vehicle Program

* **FAA Programs**
* Katherine Andrus – FAA
* Please see file named “AIP Tribal Grants”

Summary: Most of FAA funding does not flow through States, most funding goes to Airport proprietors (owners). AIP Airport Improvement Program has to be a public use airport. Tribes, and AK Native Villages/Corporations that own a NPIAS airport can apply for AIP grants. The original act was the Airport and Airways Act of 1982 <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/26/9502> and there are about 25 airports owned by Tribes in the NPIAS. Navajo has six, Ohkay Owingeh has one, and Hoopa Valley has one. FAA funds the enhancements, capacity, capital, etc. FAA does not fund the operations and maintenance for an airport. It is a multi-year process to develop a successful grant application, need to start 3 years ahead in order to pull all the pieces together. Tribes can benefit from AIP grants, there are lots of airports that benefit Tribes. The committee was advised to go to <http://www.faa.gov/> for more information.

* **OST Programs**
* Ada Valaitis – Congressional and Tribal Gov’t Affairs Specialist – OST
* Please see file named: “OST Presentation Self gov”

Summary: Safety, Innovation, and Opportunity are important but Safety is the mission of the Department. The following were discussed:

* + Ladders of Opportunity <https://www.transportation.gov/opportunity>
  + TIGER
    - $500 million in FY 2016. $9.3 billion was requested by the applicants in the last call. The funding is uncertain from year to year. <http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/infrastructure/tiger/>
  + FASTLANE Grants
    - 10% for smaller community and rural communities. <https://www.transportation.gov/FASTLANEgrants>

3:05 to 3:20 pm – BREAK

3:20 pm – Public Comment

Vivian Philbin introduced Mr. Andrew Okuyiga, professional staff to the House T&I Subcommittee.

Matt Jaffe – expressed appreciation of the department officials and the fact that the committee will remain until the rule is published.

4:20pm - Overview of Tribal programs at US DOT (continued)

* **Tribal Transportation Program**
* Leroy Gishi – BIA Transportation for Robert Sparrow
* Please see file named “TTP Brief”

Summary: ISTEA brought big changes for the Tribal programs. In 1996, only construction was an eligible activity. Tribes have led the charge for changes in the program. Be sure to look in 23 USC 201 also along with 23 USC 202 for the TTP. There is about $77 to $78 Billion in need on Tribal routes. Discussed the end of year TTP data report, this report is to get an indication of the obligations and expenditures of the program. The program flow diagram can be changed in the future for self-governance to include a channel for the funds to move directly from DOT to the Tribes. Tribes should be working only on those projects in the TIP. Safety Data Congressional Reports were discussed as safety on Tribal routes is a critical issue. TTP Safety program and the TTP Bridge program (important item is a dedicated program for deficient bridges and the number of deficient bridges in Indian Country has been declining, TTP Bridge Program is funded at about $13.2 million) were discussed. Funds that come through a State can now be handled with a 202(a)(9) Agreement.

5:00 pm – Meeting was adjourned for the day

**Thursday, August 18, 2016**

8:00 am – Meeting was called back to order

Welcome back

8:15 am – Public Comments

Mr. Garcia – A website will be established to share information. One important thing to discuss is how to communicate back to our regions at home. For example Mr. Garcia will need to communicate back to the SW Region. The DFO will have the website up as part of sharing of information, but we need to have the information shared freely.

Ms. Philbin – it is crucial that committee members report back to the regions to share information, the committee members were picked strategically to share the information from this effort. One thing about public comment is that the committee member can introduce any others in the room related to their region, for example public, staff or tribal leadership.

Ms. Denise - One avenue is the share the information through the TTAP’s

Ms. Clark – One thing is to get important information out quickly, at least an email within a week. Also show up at regional meetings as an email cannot address questions. At a regional meeting you can get on the agenda to answer questions. We need a website and need to have the information updated on that website in a few days.

Mr. His Horse is Thunder – Mr. Kelly had already sent to his region the draft protocols so that they are aware of what is going on here. Some people in the region already have the initial work products.

Mr. Kelly - Constituents want to be active participants in the process. Some do not have the ability to be in DC once a month.

Mr. Garcia – ALB has the center and any video conferencing would be beneficial.

Mr. Begay – Need actions items identified, for example how each region has assembled the information.

Ms. Flippin – regarding disseminating information --is the committee comfortable with FTA sharing information…YES. It is better than the Federal Register.

Mr. Mermelstein – Do we have an idea of when the website will be up? There are 230 plus Tribes in AK that we need to share the information. ANSWER: Vivian shared that FHWA will get on the website ASAP.

Mr. Garcia – There is a FHWA SW Region work session next week where we can share information.

8:30 am – The committee then went into Workgroups

* Group 1 - Self-Governance Administration (ADM); and
* Group 2 Operations (OPS)

12:00 pm to 1:30pm – LUNCH

1:30 pm – Workgroup report-out

Operations: see notes from Westley Woodruff

* Would prefer to have DOT Program Staff with you as it is helpful for knowledge. See the bulleted points. OPS will develop a workgroup.

SG Administration: see notes from Pete Fields

* Drafting group of 8. ADM is looking at a template for language for consideration in the next meeting. The technical drafting group and may have a teleconference before the next meeting. In the protocols there is formal drafting committee. These 2 technical work groups will initiate the draft, but there still is a formal “Drafting Group” in the protocols.

A crossover document which will developed.

Preparation for next meeting:

* Create a parking lot for any new items that come up. The parking lot can be referred to for future meetings. Or there may be items that come from the Tribes themselves that need to be addressed through the regional or area representative.
* Bob Sparrow will setup the share point site to share the documents from this meeting. Bob will set the site next week and the files will be shared in their native format.
* DOT needs to review the protocols. The timelines identified in the protocols are to be used initially (for example the 5 day lead time for sharing information). Let’s agree to work with the “draft” protocols until the protocols become official.
  + ACTION – DOT must be prepared to either recommend modifications or accept protocols.
* Rocky Mountain Region has a formal alternate Connie Thompson, Transportation Planner with the Fort Peck Tribes.
  + ACTION - Please provide Bob Sparrow with a resume and resolution for Connie Thompson.
* Midwest Region…Bob Sparrow is working on naming Dean Branchaud as an alternate.
* Develop the Agenda for the next meeting.
  + Invite NHTSA to present at the next meeting.

A Draft Agenda was proposed for the September Meeting:

The September 2016 DRAFT Agenda items (to be developed by Vivian Philbin and Bob Sparrow) should include:

1. Welcome
2. Opening Prayer
3. Roll call
4. Public Comment (with the entire committee and other attendees) (Each day)
5. Approving minutes
6. Reviewing the parking lot for the full Committee
7. Review
8. Tribal Caucus (keep on the agenda)
9. Protocol discuss and acceptance and signing
10. Presentation on Self Governance
11. Workgroups (review the homework as the full complete committee, then break into workgroup review go back into sessions)
12. NTHSA Presentation
    1. similar to what FAA, FTA, OST did to discuss education and enforcement and participate as appropriate
13. Lunch
    1. Can we have working lunches with food to order? Setup for a working lunch
14. OST update from Kenneth Martin on what DOT is thinking in terms of how DOT will handle the implementation of Self Governance.
15. Quick Travel Logistics update with Wanda.
16. Setup action items for the October 2016 meeting.
17. Adjourn
18. County Park organize an evening meal (Katherine in charge)

3:00 pm – Closing prayer

3:05 pm – Meeting Adjourned

ACTIONS:

1. Bob – will make the slides available and making a website to post the information to that website. That will happen the week of August 22, 2016.
2. Bob and Vivian will coordinate to make sure that DOT alternatives are here for the meetings.
3. Bob and Vivian will coordinate the facilitators
4. Vivian will investigate a Wi-Fi hot spot for the next meeting.
5. Vivian…Add to the agenda a presentation on self-governance at the September 2016 meeting. There will be 30 minutes 30,000 foot presentation on self-governance.
6. DONE…Tribal Caucus – First getting some tribal officials named and then organizes a caucus. Going to be held first session after lunch on August 16.
7. Update the Calendar (see above)
8. Get an answer to question regarding if the remaining funding is not obligated, does that balance part of the “score” of a bill (considered as part of how that affects the overall Federal budget).
9. Need a running list of handouts from the meeting.
   1. COMPLETED and Bob has the list and attachments
10. Pete - List of committee members (sign in passed around at the meeting)
11. Vivian – email the group the ROW regulations 25 CFR 169
12. Vivian/Bob – will put the committee notebook on the website and provide to hardcopies to the Lesa and Howard as the recorders for the Self-Governance Administration workgroup.
13. Kenneth Martin – share with the TTPSG the current thinking on how DOT will handle the implementation of SG.