Commercially Useful Function (CUF)/ Compliance Evaluation Form - Service Providers

SAMPLE FORM

This **optional** form may be used by recipients as a means to document their certification that the elements of work performed by a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) service provider were monitored and evaluated according to the Commercially Useful Function (CUF) and counting requirements of 49 CFR §26.55. This form also contains data and questions related to the running tally of DBE payments, termination of work, and changes in contract scope that may require prompt action to ensure final compliance. Once completed, recipients should review, in totality, the answers to questions in this form and related documents to assist in making final CUF and counting determinations. Recipients may revise this form to account for differences in their DBE Programs.

This form does not include any statement of agency policy or interpretation concerning a statute, regulation, or technical matter within the jurisdiction of the agency that is intended to have general applicability and future effect. Use of this form is strictly voluntary. Nonconformity with or non-use of this form will not affect rights and obligations under existing statutes and regulations.

regulations.						
	Section I- Pro	ject and Payment Dat	а			
Contract No./ID:	Project Name:					
Prime Provider:		DBE Goal:	District/Region	ı:		
DBE Subcontract \$:	DBE Commit	ment \$:	% of DBE Wor	k Completed:		
DBE Start Date:		DBE Payments to	Date \$:			
DBE Provider Name:	DBE Representative:					
DBE Provider Owner:	DBE Representative Title:					
DBE is Performing as:	Prime Service Provider	Sub Service Provide	er Lower-tie	er Service Provider		
Service Category:						
Provide a brief descripti	on of the DBE's scope of work/:	services from the approv	ved subcontract/su	ıb-agreement:		
The following two ques	tions pertain to DBE participat	ion submitted (under co	ommitment) to me	et a contract goal:		
> Are the descriptions	of work in the DBE's subcontra	ct/sub-agreement and t	he Prime's DBE co	mmitment consistent?		
YES	NO (If "NO," how was this res	solved?) N/A				
Have there been	any changes in project scope tl	nat would affect the DBE	commitment?			
YES (If "YE	S," describe changes in scope, i	impacts, and actions to r	esolve) NO	N/A		

Supervision and Management(Questions 1 -3 do not apply to temporary labor services) YES NO N/A 1. Was all work performed directed by the DBE's supervisory personnel? (e.g. DBE

- Was all work performed directed by the DBE's supervisory personnel? (e.g. DBE
 directs its own employees and controls all elements of work; approving signatures/
 initials on work products, title blocks and other relevant documents were those
 of DBE supervisory personnel)
- 2. Do workflows indicate that the designated DBE Project Manager/Supervisor is directing all work?
- 3. If the DBE project team or its representative is co-located in the prime's office, is it evident that the DBE has sufficient on-site expertise and control that allows it to manage its work independently?

Comments Required for all "NO" or "N/A" Responses:

Performance YES NO N/A

- 4. Did the State DOT make adjustments in counting where the DBE itself sublet or outsourced any portion of its work to a non-DBE?
- 5. Did the DBE perform at least 30% of the work under its contract/agreement?
- 6. Provide the value of any DBE work (under commitment) that was terminated by the prime and performed by another firm without the State DOT's prior written consent:

OR

- 6a. Where written consent was not obtained, did/will the State DOT deny payment to the prime for DBE work it self-performed or DBE work performed by another firm?
- 7. Did the prime make every good faith effort to replace the value of work under commitment not performed by the DBE for any reason (other than State-initiated changes in scope)?

Comments Required for all "NO" or "N/A" Responses:

Workforce YES NO N/A

- 8. Based on the site visit, were the personnel observed/performing work consistent with the DBE's affirmed listing of project personnel, payrolls, or other valid document?
- 9. With respect to professional services, are document authors and signers of certifications, stamps, seals, title blocks, etc. consistent with the DBE's affirmed listing of qualified project personnel?

Workforce (continued)	YES	NO	N/A
10. Based on employee interviews, is the DBE the only service provider that is compensating the personnel performing the work?			
-Provide the names of DBE personnel observed or interviewed in the Comments box			
Comments Required for all "NO' or N/A" Responses:			
Equipment	YES	NO	N/A
11. Did the DBE use its own equipment to perform the work specified in its contract/agreement? (Total stations, computer hardware, plotters, computer automated design software, personal protective equipment, tools, and other equipment required to perform or produce the work.)			
12. For equipment not owned by the DBE, did the DBE lease it from a company other than the prime or an upper-tier service provider?			
13. If 12. above is "NO," provide the value of equipment borrowed or leased from the			
prime or an upper-tier service provider: OR —		\longrightarrow	
14. Provide information about equipment leased or borrowed by the DBE:			
15. Did the prime increase DBE participation to replace the value of equipment borrowed or leased from the prime or upper-tier service provider, as indicated in "13." above?			
16. Is all equipment being operated by DBE employees and under the direct supervision			
of the DBE?			
Comments Required for all "NO" or "N/A" Responses:			
CUF Determination			
Based on the observations reported in this document, including relevant attac affirm that the participation of the DBE entered at the top of this form has been coun accordance with 49 CFR §26.55, and accurately reflected in our agency's reporting system	ited in	ı	
Reviewer Signature: Date:			
Printed Name and Title of Reviewer:			
Determination Comments:			