

Damage Assessment and Disaster Recovery

for US/China Transportation Forum

December 2010

Eric J. Plosky

U.S. DOT RITA Volpe Center

Disaster phases

- Planning
- Response
 - Life-sustaining activities
 - ***Damage assessments conducted***
- Recovery
 - ***Transition to “community-sustaining” phase***
- Mitigation

Response-Recovery Transition

- Based on damage assessments during response, recovery activities may vary
 - Simple restoration of previous infrastructure?
 - Temporary infrastructure needed?
 - Different kind of recovery indicated?
 - Infeasible/inadvisable to repair/replace damaged infrastructure?
 - Too costly? Lack of capacity?
 - Geographic or other permanent community changes?

Organization: Response

- Response: Incident Command System (ICS) - *hierarchical*
 - Federal assistance at request of state
 - Damage assessments produced
 - Results must be coordinated
 - Short-term restoration decisions focus on life-sustaining activities
 - Decisions must be coordinated: public/private priorities, funding available, eligibility for funding

Organization: Recovery

- Long-Term Community Recovery - *coordinating*
 - Federal assistance at request of state
 - Identification of longer-term, “community sustaining” needs
 - There may not be such needs --> simple restoration indicated
 - Needs identified --> simple restoration, or do things differently?
 - Results must be coordinated: level of government, private sector, public involvement/expectations
- New federal recovery framework document being developed

Example: Loma Prieta earthquake, 1989

- Major earthquake in San Francisco Bay Area, California — 17 October 1989
- Many roads and bridges destroyed or out of service
- Focus: restoration and improvement
- Overall: permanent changes to Bay Area transportation system and behavior



Examples: I-880 interchange collapse; I-35W bridge collapse (2007)

- I-880: major highway link in State of Minnesota was destroyed - 29 April 2007
 - Focus on restoration - maximizing speed - expedited building
 - Repairs completed 24 May 2007
 - Disruption less than anticipated
- I-35W: bridge collapse - 1 August 2007
 - Focus on restoration - as well as potential for future transit
 - Replacement bridge - embedded sensing

Figure 6 Aerial Photo of Bridge Area



Aerial Photo Courtesy of MnDOT, August 14, 2007

Example: Red River flooding (1997)

- Extreme flooding in several states including North Dakota: \$3.5 billion in damage
 - Focus was on recovering *differently*: increasing resilience
 - Flood buffer zone created



Example: Greensburg tornado (2007)

- Tornado (Fujita EF5, 205 mph-330 km/h winds) 4 May 2007 virtually destroyed Greensburg, Kansas (population ~1,500)
 - Focus: ensuring community survival
 - Comprehensive interagency recovery plan
 - “Green” rebuilding
 - Sister-city EcoPartnership with Mianzhu City, Sichuan Province



Challenges

- Better/faster damage assessments: technology (embedded sensing)
- Methodology for prioritizing and sequencing infrastructure recovery: balancing data inputs and human inputs
- Coordination between levels of government, private sector, and community
- Successful implementation of national disaster recovery framework
- Definition of “successful recovery”